

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/730,293	12/08/2003	John M. Tiesler	02-022.5	2544
7590 05/24/2004		EXAMINER		
Andreou & Casson, Ltd.			MORROW, JASON S	
ATTN: Heather A. Wakefield Suite 1144			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
332 South Michigan Avenue			3612	
Chicago, IL 60604			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/730,293	TIESLER ET AL.	as			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason S. Morrow	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this corr D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			0 1 101/4)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Marshar (1946)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ) [] 1 <u>-1</u>	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(P10-413) ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-1	52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in figure 5, part 24 does not appear to have any mechanism for engaging the ribs 48, as would be consistent with figure 3. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 3 recite the limitation "said lateral movement track system" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the phrase be changed to --said lateral track movement system--.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "roof bow" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the phrase be changed to "a roof bow".

Claim 5 recites the limitation "upper base" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the phrase be changed to "an upper base".

Art Unit: 3612

Claim 5 recites the limitation "lower base" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the phrase be changed to "a lower base".

In claim 8, line 2, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "slidably associated to joined".

Claim 9 recites the limitation "lower lock" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the phrase be changed to "a lower lock".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1 and 11 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brooks et al., Clark et al., Tiesler et al., Finneman, Rosen, and Hewko disclose vehicle track mechanisms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,293 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason S. Morrow

Examiner

Art Unit 3612

May 16, 2004

JASON MORROW-PATENT EXAMINER

FIEN EXAMI